

<b>Family Name</b>	Matthews
<b>Given Name</b>	Victoria
<b>Person ID</b>	1287103
<b>Title</b>	Stakeholder Submission
<b>Type</b>	Web
<b>Family Name</b>	Matthews
<b>Given Name</b>	Victoria
<b>Person ID</b>	1287103
<b>Title</b>	JPA 35: North of Mosley Common
<b>Type</b>	Web
<b>Soundness - Positively prepared?</b>	Unsound
<b>Soundness - Justified?</b>	Unsound
<b>Soundness - Consistent with national policy?</b>	Unsound
<b>Soundness - Effective?</b>	Unsound
<b>Compliance - Legally compliant?</b>	No
<b>Compliance - In accordance with the Duty to Cooperate?</b>	No
<b>Redacted reasons - Please give us details of why you consider the consultation point not to be legally compliant, is unsound or fails to comply with the duty to co-operate. Please be as precise as possible.</b>	<p>The use of Green Belt without the consultation and vote of every resident is unacceptable. Its OUR Green Belt not the local authorities to give up for building. Once it's gone it's gone for ever - for this generation, the next - all generations. No building on Green Belt no matter how small a percentage Wigan Authority deem it to be! Its not theirs to give away!</p> <p>The local infrastructure has already being stretched further by the construction of homes on Parr Brow and potentially The Elements. The area can't sustain the number of houses the proposal suggests.</p> <p>Not everybody works in Central Manchester or within easy reach of the V bus route. Hence not everybody who would potential live in the 1,100 new homes would travel by bus. In fact the "majority" will be using their cars on what are already overloaded local roads leading to the A580.</p> <p>There is actually little that can be done to the junction of the A577 and the A580 without affecting the flow of the A580 which takes priority being the major network link - or surely it would of already have been done!! If something can be done and hasn't already then that says a lot for the Authorities contempt of the existing residents of the area!</p> <p>Fracking - we fully support fracking on our local Green Belt NOT the building of houses.</p> <p>Great Crested Newts are known to occupy the ponds in the fields to the North of the assigned area.</p> <p>The plan is NOT as sustainable as suggested, please re consider and save our green belt!</p>
<b>Redacted modification - Please set out the modification(s) you</b>	Significantly reduce the number of homes on this plan so the local infrastructure can cope. Also eliminate the building on ANY of the Green Belt proposed. Its Green Belt for a reason and has been for generations. It

**consider necessary to make this section of the plan legally compliant and sound, in respect of any legal compliance or soundness matters you have identified above.**

should remain so for ours and future generations! Frack it DON'T build on it!

Do Wigan Council actually have the right to decide that Green Belt can be built on without a public vote OR are they bowing to commercial pressures and using fancy names like 'Places for Everyone' as a cover?

There needs to be more emphasis on a Brownfield policy.

Is there the need for the amount of housing being proposed across Greater Manchester Authorities?? We believe the requirement has been vastly over estimated for political and commercial reasons.